

REMARKS

In paragraph 4 of the Office Action, the Examiner required the election of a single disclosed species for prosecution from the following six species:

1. Embodiment of figures 1-9
2. Embodiment of figures 10-11
3. Embodiment of figures 12-16
4. Embodiment of figures 17-18
5. Embodiment of figures 17-18 and 21-24
6. Embodiment of figures 19-20.

However, the Applicants respectfully disagree with this characterization. The devices shown in Figures 1-16 are all semiconductor devices according to the first embodiment of the present invention, and are based upon the same basic structure as that of the first embodiment. For example, paragraph [0104] of the present specification recites that "Figure 12 is an example of the basic structure of the first embodiment applied to a micropump". Claim 1 relates to the semiconductor device according to the first embodiment of the present invention, and reads on the devices shown in Figs. 1-16.

Thus, the Applicants respectfully submit that the proper scope of Species 1 should be the first embodiment described in Figs. 1-16. Reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,



Steven Roberts  
USPTO Reg. No. 39,346

Shinju Global IP  
c/o GLOBAL IP COUNSELORS, LLP  
1233 Twentieth Street, NW, Suite 700  
Washington, DC 20036  
(202)-293-0444  
Dated: Nov. 19, 2004